WEST ASHLEY MIDDLE 1776 Kennerty Drive Charleston, SC 29414 7-8 Middle School GRADES ENROLLMENT 793 Students Judy Sherman PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Maria L. Goodloe 843-937-6319 Ms. Nancy Cook 843-760-2635 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: BELOW AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Middle Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 0 3 25 18 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This school met 14 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.SCEOC.ORG

843-763-1546

1

Z

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress	
2001	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2002	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2003	Average	Unsatisfactory	No	
2004	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	No	

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

PERCENT OF STUDENT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2003-04 whose 2002-03 test scores were located.

90.7%

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)

Our School Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Mathematics English/Language Arts

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Mathematics English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced
Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient
Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Below Basic
Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP									
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and	Performance Objective	Participation Objective M.
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	/	/ %	/	/	/ °`	1 % A	~ õ	/ T or /
Englis	h/Langua	ge Arts - S	State Perf	ormance	Objective	= 17.6%			
All Students	780	99.5	37.2	50.7	11.6	0.4	19.7	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	388	99.5	45.7	45.7	8.0	0.6	14.1		
Female	392	99.5	28.9	55.6	15.2	0.3	25.3		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	227	100.0	24.5	54.9	19.1	1.5	30.4	Yes	Yes
African-American	528	99.8	42.9	49.6	7.5	0.0	14.5	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	11	90.9	12.5	50.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	14	85.7	40.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	624	99.5	30.3	55.8	13.5	0.5	23.0		
Disabled	156	99.4	65.5	30.2	4.3	0.0	6.5	No	Yes
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	780	99.5	37.2	50.7	11.6	0.4	19.7		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	776	99.9	37.2	50.7	11.6	0.4	19.7		
Socio-Economic Status	500	00.0	40.6	47.0	0.0	0.0	45.0	N.	
Subsidized meals	502	99.8	43.6	47.6	8.8	0.0	15.0	No	Yes
Full-pay meals	276	99.3	24.6	56.8	17.4	1.3	29.2	l	l I

N	Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 15.5%								
All Students	780	100.0	44.3	43.3	9.8	2.7	19.1	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	388	100.0	48.0	40.0	9.4	2.6	18.9		
Female	392	100.0	40.6	46.5	10.1	2.8	19.3		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	227	100.0	24.0	50.5	18.6	6.9	37.7	Yes	Yes
African American	528	100.0	53.4	40.4	5.4	0.8	10.6	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	11	100.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	14	100.0	50.0	33.3	8.3	8.3	16.7	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	624	100.0	36.9	48.0	11.8	3.4	22.8		
Disabled	156	100.0	74.3	24.3	1.4	0.0	4.3	No	Yes
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	780	100.0	44.3	43.3	9.8	2.7	19.1		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	776	100.0	44.3	43.3	9.8	2.7	19.1		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	502	100.0	51.2	39.7	8.1	1.1	14.3	No	Yes
Full-pay meals	276	100.0	30.7	50.4	13.0	5.9	28.6		

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

West / Isliney Middle									
PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL									
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing		% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	/	
		Englis	h/Langu	age Arts					
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 7	362	99.2	41.5	46.9	11.3	0.3	11.6		
Grade 8	567	99.5	36.8	50.5	11.4	1.3	12.8		
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 7	414	100.0	39.5	49.6	10.8	N/A	10.8		
Grade 8	367	100.0	34.5	54.0	10.5	1.1	11.6		

		N	Mathemat	ics			
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7	362	99.4	40.7	39.5	12.7	7.1	19.8
Grade 8	567	99.6	35.2	48.4	11.0	5.3	16.4
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7	414	100.0	43.8	40.8	12.1	3.3	15.4
Grade 8	367	100.0	43.9	47.6	6.5	2.0	8.5

West Ashley Middle 100	01106

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 793)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	96.1%	N/R	13.4%	14.6%
Retention rate	5.7%	N/A	4.1%	3.0%
Attendance rate	94.1%	Up from 93.3%	95.4%	95.9%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level	8.6%		6.9%	5.7%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	8.3%		6.5%	5.3%
Eligible for gifted and talented	10.5%	Down from 12.5%	13.2%	14.3%
On academic plans	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
On academic probation	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
With disabilities other than speech	19.2%	Up from 15.1%	15.3%	13.9%
Older than usual for grade	7.2%	Down from 29.5%	5.0%	4.2%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	N/R	N/R	1.2%	0.9%
Annual dropout rate	0.4%	N/A	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 53)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	58.5%	Up from 47.5%	48.0%	48.7%
Continuing contract teachers	58.5%	Down from 60.7%	81.6%	81.7%
Highly qualified teachers**	80.0%	N/A	91.9%	90.4%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	22.7%		3.9%	5.3%
Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	84.1%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate	95.6%	Up from 94.5%	94.8%	94.8%
Average teacher salary	\$40,196	Up 5.0%	\$39,090	\$40,566
Prof. development days/teacher	13.2 days	Up from 10.4 days	10.2 days	11.0 days
School				
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	4.0	3.3 21.3 to 1
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	23.0 to 1	Down from 23.9 to 1	20.9 to 1	
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	88.2% \$4,341	Up from 86.8% N/A	89.0% \$5,549	89.3% \$5,821
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	69.8% Good	N/A	62.0% Good	61.8% Good
Opportunities in the arts		No change		
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	97.3% No	Up from 92.0% No change	94.0% Yes	95.0% Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Average	N/A	Average	Good
		Our District		ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty		88.1%		0%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty	y schools**	87.8%		1%
		State Objectiv		Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school*	*	65.0%		es
Student attendance in this school		95.3%	N	lo
**NOTE: The verification process was not completed	for the year ren	orted: therefore the count of h	ighly qualified teachers r	nay not be accura

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The School Improvement Council (SIC) of West Ashley Middle School (WAMS) shares this 2003 - 2004 School Report Card with people who are interested in our school. The purposes of the School Report Card are to inform parents and the public about our school performance, to monitor accountability within our school, and to engage the entire community in the school improvement process.

Throughout the 2003 - 2004 school year, the primary focus of WAMS was to raise the level of student achievement through new initiatives. WAMS adopted Standards Across the School, which provided instruction by all teachers on the South Carolina Curriculum Standards. Another initiative, The Learning Center, provided enrichment and remediation for our students at the below basic level on the Palmetto Achievement Challenge Test (PACT). Furthermore, the integration of technology in the classroom was a primary goal in the professional development of our staff; students were provided many opportunities to use technology in the classroom on a daily basis. To provide a comprehensive program for all students, a student activity program was implemented that included student clubs and organizations, varied athletic teams, and a student incentive program focusing on behavior and leadership. In addition, an award and recognition program was implemented to celebrate academic achievements, athletic accomplishments, and good citizenship. Overall, parents, faculty, and staff were committed to work together to provide a program of excellence for every child at WAMS.

As WAMS begins our third year, new initiatives are planned for continuous school improvement. Both grade levels will be organized into teams including a reading teacher for each team as part of the middle school concept. In addition, students will participate in an advisement program as we build learning communities within each grade level. WAMS will continue to use technology for curriculum delivery and provide more opportunities for the daily use of technology. For a more comprehensive remediation program, the creation of two Plato labs will provide more individualized academic assistance.

WAMS is committed to providing a rigorous curriculum that meets the individual needs of every student. WAMS has built the proper foundation and support for continued success in the future.

Lisa Haskell, School Improvement Council Chairperson Melanie G. Reynolds-Murphy, Principal for 2003 - 2004

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND	FARENIS		
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	40	367	131
Percent satisfied with learning environment	60.0%	62.2%	73.4%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	55.0%	63.7%	64.3%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	12.5%	78.7%	48.4%
*Only students at the highest middle school grade level at this school and their pa	arents were includ	led.	